

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Grover et al.**Application No. 10/628,054****Filed:** July 25, 2003**Confirmation No.** 4135**For:** SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT
INFRASTRUCTURE**Examiner:** Isaac Tuku Tecklu**Art Unit:** 2192**Attorney Reference No.** 3382-65598-01FILED VIA EFS ON February 25, 2010**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Responsive to the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in the Notice of Allowability mailed December 1, 2009, the Applicants comment as follows.

The Applicants agree that claims 1-11, 13-15, 17, 22-23, 26-32, 34, and 43 are allowable and that the prior art, taken either singly or in combination, fails to teach or suggest the language of these claims, respectively. The Applicants respectfully note, however, that the language used by the Examiner in some instances does not identically appear in each of the allowed claims. For each of the allowed claims, to the extent the Examiner's stated reasons for allowance use language not identically appearing in that claim, the Applicants note that the claim is not limited by such language, but rather the actual language of the claim speaks for itself.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 595-5300
Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

By /Cory A. Jones/
Cory A. Jones
Registration No. 55,307

cc: Docketing